

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. David E. Edgren ARC 2702D1 6607 09/721,334 11/22/2000 7590 06/24/2003 **ALZA Corporation EXAMINER** P.O. Box 7210 WEBMAN, EDWARD J Mountain View, CA 94043-7210 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1617 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Cummons	09/72/334 GPEREN
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	WEBMAN 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	7
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status _	, ,
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10/63
This action is FINAL.	
1 2	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
· J	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) T	is/are rejected.
	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Central Number of Inches ☐ Inches	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Central Number of Inches ☐ Inches	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ol☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ol☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ol ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. _______

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language "dosage form" in line 1 contradicts the presence of a membrane which is a solution. That is, the presence of a solvent in which the polymer and surfactant are dissolved suggests applicants are claiming a precursor comprising a drug layer and a solution to make a membrane rather than a dosage form comprising a drug layer and a membrane. Further, the location of the membrane relative to the drug layer is unclear. Is the drug layer coated on both sides of with the membrane, one side, only the edge, the edge and one side or both sides and the edge (s)?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buxton et al.

Buxton et al teach a coated core containing a drug, the coating containing ethyl cellulose and a surfactant (abstract). Solvents such as ethanol are specified (column 3, lines 7-12).

Applicants argue a method of making, however, such are not considered patentable limitations in composition claims during prosecution before the USPTO.

Applicants cite the examples, however, a reference is not limited by its examples.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 305-3592

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,334

Art Unit: 1617

Webman/tgd June 3, 2003